## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

MAY 3 0 2007

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPLALS AND INTERFERENCES Ex parte JACK GERSHFELD

Application 09/740,065

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 20, 2007. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal.

Accordingly, the application is herewith being electronically returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

An examination of the Image File Wrapper (IFW) reveals that an Appeal Brief was filed on February 28, 2006.

37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v) (2005) reads as follows:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

. . . .

(v) Summary of claimed subject matter. A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

The "Summary of claimed subject matter" appearing on pages 2 and 3 of the Appeal Brief filed February 28, 2006 is deficient because it does not map the independent claim to the specification. See § 1205.03(B) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 3, August 2005) which states:

(B) When the Office holds the brief to be defective solely due to appellant's failure to provide a summary

of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37 (c)(1)(v), an entire new brief need not, and should not, be filed. Rather, a paper providing a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) will suffice. Failure to timely respond to the Office's requirement will result in dismissal of the appeal. See MPEP § 1215.04 and § 711.02(b).

Correction is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) for notification to appellant to submit a paper providing a revised "Summary of claimed subject matter";
  - 2) for consideration of said paper; and
  - 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By: Patrior Salar

PATRICK J. NOLAN

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

PJN:psb

## Application 09/740,065

Vladimir Khiterer Khiterer Law Office 2109 W. Coast Hwy., Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92663